(75)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 1016 OF 2003

Petitioner : Dr. Yadavendra Prasad Dubey, S/o Shri B.P. Dubey, aged about 33 years, Working as Lecturer of Mathematics in Guru Ghasi Das Vishvavidyalay, Bilaspur.

Versus

Respondents : 1. Guru Ghasi Das Vishvavidyalay through its Registrar, Bilaspur (C.G.)

2. Registrar, Guru Ghasi Das Vishvavidyalay, Bilaspur.

3. Head of Department Mathematics University Teaching Department, Guru Ghasi Das Vishvavidyalay, Bilaspur.

Present: Shri P.S. Koshy, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Ashish Shrivastava, counsel with Shri Anand Verma, counsel for the Respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(23rd January, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed on the post of Lecturer against the vacancy available on account of promotion of Dr. A.S. Ranidave to the post of Reader (Annexure P-3) in respondents/University in Mathematics Department. Thereafter, by order dated 19.2.2003, the appointment of the petitioner was converted to the post of contract teacher till April, 2003.

2. The petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for quashing of the order dated 19.2.2003 (Annexure P-10) and further for amendment in the conditions of first appointment order dated 10.8.2001 (Annexure P-3). The petitioner has averred in the writ petition that the petitioner has made representations on 20.2.2003 and 26.2.2003 (Annexure P-11) against the order dated 19.2.2003 (Annexure P-10).

3. The petitioner filed the representations dated 20.2.2003 and 26.2.2003 before the Vice-Chancellor, of the respondents/University, stating his grievances. The respondents in their return dated 28.4.2003, have clearly stated that the said representations made by the petitioner, have not been finally decided and the matter is still under consideration as to whether it is possible to adjust the petitioner against any vacancy available.

4. In view of the above stated averments in the return filed by the respondents, this petition is being disposed of with a direction that the respondents will consider the representations dated 20.2.2003 and 26.2.2003 filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the receipt/production of a copy of this order. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu